

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,830	10/03/2003	John Grunwald	. 26223-06A	1134
7590 09/16/2005		EXAMINER		
John L. Cordani			WILSON, LEE D	
Carmody & To:	rrance LLP			
50 Leavenworth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 1110			3723	
Waterbury, CT 06721-1110			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005	

· Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/678,830	GRUNWALD, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	LEE D. WILSON	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		`				
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/3/03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,830

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller et al (6435947).

Mueller et al discloses a cmp pad having a outermost layer (col.4, lines 42-56), pads (col.11, lines 39-52). In regard to claims 7 and 4-5 see col. 3, lines 5-10 and col. 6, lines 42-67. in regard to claim 6, see col.7, lines 31-40. in regard to claims 8-9, see col.9, lines 30-40, and col.3, lines 25-35. in regard to claim 11, the abrasives are impregnated into to the pad which is equivalent to a fixed abrasive pad.

3. Claims 1-2, 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al (20040203324A1)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/678,830

Art Unit: 3723

Smith et al discloses a cmp pad having a outermost layer (par.19), pads (claims 1-2 and par.115). in regard to claim 6, par.53. in regard to claims 8-9, see par.57. in regard to claim 10 see par.35.

4. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robinson et al (6090475).

Robinson et al discloses a cmp pad having a outermost layer (18), pads (14).

5.

Robinson et al discloses a cmp pad having a outermost layer (18), pads (14).

6. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki (6332832).

Suzuki discloses a cmp pad having a outermost layer (31&42), pads (31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson et al (6090475) and/or Suzuki (6332832).
 - a. Robinson et al (6090475) discloses the claimed invention except for at least .05 microns. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/678,830

Art Unit: 3723

art at the time the invention was made to have used a thickness of at least .05 microns, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233*.

b. Suzuki (6332832) discloses the claimed invention except for at least .05 microns. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a thickness of at least .05 microns, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.*

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Braunschweig et al disclose an invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,830

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Mallon

Ldw

September 15, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER